Date: 11/7/2008

Dir, Robert S. Mueller III Federal Bureau of Investigation J. Edgar Hoover Building 935 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20535-0001

Harold Saive – Military Veteran and US Patriot *Address redacted*

Dear Director Mueller,

The information contained herein could have significant and immediate consequences to the National Security of the United States. A campaign of similar letters is currently underway to inform the Congress, Senate, Media, Attorneys General, Federal Judges, FBI and the US Department of Justice.

This letter is submitted in accordance with my duty as a US citizen under provisions of 18 USC § 2382, Misprision of Treason and/or 18 USC § 4, Misprision of Felony.

It is the affirmative duty of any citizen to expose any treasonous or criminal act which comes to our attention. Failure to do so is defined as "misprision."

As codified in the United States Code, Title 18, "Crimes and Criminal Procedure." Misprision of treason applies to officials who have taken an oath to protect and defend the Constitution. Misprision of felony applies to all citizens.

18 USC § 2382. Misprision of treason

Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both.

18 USC § 4. Misprision of felony

Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

I recently viewed a lecture presented by Architect, Richard Gage – founder of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth. (AE911Truth.org). The lecture: **9/11: Blueprint for Truth,** is available for online viewing at: https://youtu.be/OQgVCj7q490

Richard Gage is a practicing architect in California and with 20 years of experience with recent projects designing large steel-frame buildings. He has organized over 500 building professionals, architects and engineers to petition congress for a new investigation of the terror attacks of 9/11/2001.

After some initial skepticism, I found AE911Truth not to be an organization of conspiracy theorists but rather a group of over 500 architects and engineers and nearly 2,700 associate supporters risking their professional livelihood as American patriots to bring the "*inconvenient truth*" that three (3) World Trade Center buildings were deliberately demolished by controlled demolition on 9/11/2001.

After viewing the lecture I became very disturbed that *in seven years* the overwhelming evidence of controlled demolition has not yet succeeded to convene a Grand Jury to assess the need for a criminal investigation.

I recall long ago when President Bush promised a full investigation of 9/11, but today we still have no trial with sworn witnesses, evidence and testimony conducted in a court of law.

The implication of Gage's conclusions are horrific when I consider 9/11 terror was used as a pretext for the invasion of two countries and the huge loss of civilian lives in the US, Iraq, and Afghanistan. When I discovered that our own FBI admits they have no evidence that Osama Bin Laden was involved with the 9/11 attacks I feel compelled to bring my discovery to the attention to every member of congress, senate and sitting federal judge that I possibly can.

In his presentation, Gage employs the scientific method to test the theory of controlled demolition vs. the government's finding (NIST) that fire was responsible for the collapses of three World Trade Center (WTC) buildings, WTC1, WTC2 and WTC7.

Hard evidence exists in the USGS aerial survey that recorded surface temperatures between 1,160 and 1,377 Deg. F. were measured for five weeks following 9/11/2001. Workers at the sites witnessed molten steel at all three building sites confirming the underground temperatures exceeded the melting point of steel, or 2,750 deg. F. The hypothesis with the best probability to fit these finding is that controlled demolition with incendiaries like thermite was the cause.

I now share the following conclusions with Richard Gage and more than 500 Architects and Engineers subscribed to his group of Architects and Engineers and thousands on non-professionals subscribed to his group:

1) Richard Gage has proved the hypothesis of controlled demolition beyond any reasonable doubt and that the **government's published conclusions can not possibly be true.**

Fires could not have burned hot enough to cause the collapse of WTC1,WTC2 or WTC7. Amazingly, the government investigators (NIST) admitted that they never tested the hypothesis for controlled demolition in any scenario. (When will they?)

- 2) Controlled Demolition was responsible for the collapse of all 3 WTC buildings. All of the compelling evidence presented by Gage *completely* matches the hypothesis of controlled demolition. Common sense tells me that steel and other metal parts inside jet engines will not melt, even after many hours of burning fuel in the air or on the ground.
- 3) I believe that a reasonable person, presented with all the evidence in a court of law would find that the official government explanation that concludes that fire was the cause of the gravitational speed collapses of all 3 skyscrapers can not possibly be true.
- 4) I viewed the 2006 PBS documentary: "America Rebuilds" to see Larry Silverstein, owner of WTC7, admit that he got a call from the fire chief to suggest that they "pull" (deliberately demolish) WTC7. This is a shocking confession that deserves screaming headlines, yet Silverstein has never been accused of terrorism, nor has he been placed under oath to answer to his own admission as a willing accessory to the deliberate destruction of WTC7. **Just as disturbing is the mainstream media's failure to report this story.**
- **5**) A 2007 Zogby poll revealed that half of New Yorkers believe US leaders had foreknowledge of an impending terror attack and "consciously failed" to act. Two-thirds (66%) of New Yorkers called for a new probe of unanswered questions by Congress or New York's Attorney General.

Source: http://911truth.org/zogby-poll-51-percent-americans-want-congress-probe-bush-cheney-regarding-911-attacks/

6) A 2006 Scripps Howard poll found that one-third of the American public suspects that federal officials assisted in the 9/11 terrorist attacks or took no action to stop them so the United States could go to war in the Middle East.

Source: http://911truth.org/new-poll-a-third-of-u-s-public-believes-911-conspiracy-theory/

On Sept. 16-17, 2008 a draft bill setting up an independent science and technology investigation into the destruction of World Trade Center buildings 1, 2, and 7 to mandate construction-code changes was presented in Washington D.C. by three **Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice** for consideration to eight House members and the director and staff of the House Science and Technology.

The bill proposes a 25-member committee with subpoena power, selected from the national and international community of renown scientists, structural engineers, architects and other technological specialists including those in demolition, advanced weaponry, and 9/11 first-responders. The proposed legislation was researched and authored by four members of the Scholars for 9/11 Truth organization from Oregon and Maryland.

Presentations were made to science and technology legislative staffers of House members Reps. Rush Holt (D-NJ-12), Jay Inslee (D-WA-1), Walter Jones (R-NC-3), Dan Lipinski (D-IL-3), Jim McGovern (D-MA-3), Ed Markey (D-MA-78), Dana Rohrabacker (R-CA-46), and John Tierney (D-MA-6). Two are members of the House Science and Technology committee: Lipinski is vice chair, and Rohrabacker is the third-ranking Republican. Only one staffer (Tierney's) was disinterested. COMPLETE DRAFT BILL FOUND AT LINK:

http://www.opednews.com/articles/8-House-Members-View-Draft-by-Barbara-Ellis-081018-925.html

Response Requested:

Director Mueller - under provisions of 18 USC § 2382, and/or 18 USC § 4 will you carry out your sworn responsibility to report, investigate and bring to trial the compelling evidence that three (3) World Trade Center Buildings were destroyed by controlled demolition in Manhattan, New York on 9/11/2001?

Enclosed is a brochure downloaded and printed from Richard Gage's, AE911Truth website at: http://www.ae911truth.org/downloads/AEbrochure_080904.pdf

I found further evidence, including peer reviewed scientific publications available at <u>The Journal of 9/11 Studies</u>: http://www.journalof911studies.com/

Respectfully,

Harold Saive